

# **Scrutiny Panel Performance Report**

Southampton Children and Learning Service Improvement Board July 2022

Stuart Webb, Head of Quality Assurance Jo Feeney, Performance Manager







### **Priority Areas**

	Page Number	RAG
Practice and Performance Summary	3	
Effective assessment and intervention	4	Medium
Purposeful Direct Contact	5	Medium
Management Support for better practice	6	High
Right service at the right time	7	High
Robust corporate parenting	9	High
Common practice framework	11	Medium
Rigorous quality assurance	12	Medium
Performance Culture	13	High
Workforce Academy	14	Medium
Systems and support services	15	High

### **Practice and Performance Summary**

We can see green shoots in the latest performance, alongside challenges and inconsistency in some areas. We are seeing the positive impact of D22 in the work of our Brief Intervention Teams and Young People's Service. Child protection plans and the number of children entering care are reducing. All our leaders and managers including practice managers are now permanent and most of our newest recruits have joined the service and completed their induction. Caseloads for our South African colleagues are increasing, some now have a full caseload, and our newly qualified social workers are approaching graduation and registration.

The staffing situation across the service remains fragile due to the unplanned departure of a number of agency social workers, many of whom were experienced, trusted staff. Most have joined innovation teams in neighbouring LAs. These staff were an important part of our 'towards sustainability' plan for the coming months: their exit has created significant workload pressures in the service and we are worried about our permanent staff. Positively, we have continued to build our senior social worker cohort (the second panel took place in May).

Our practice development team ran a successful practice week on purposeful direct work, alongside the launch of our supervision policy and practice standards. The first phase of workforce academy training has begun, with systemic practice and motivational interviewing training rolled out, and Safe Together training starting over the Summer. Our 'Ask one person', fostering campaign is gaining traction with increased enquiries and a favourable conversion rate. Our next staff recruitment campaign, through which we plan to fill all or most of our remaining vacancies, is imminent.

Direct contact for children in need, children looked after and children with Child Protection Plans (two weekly PI) needs to improve. This is primarily a recording issue but is still a concern. Similarly, there is significant inconsistency in supervision performance; again this is primarily (but not solely) recording. We are tracking this closely via assurance clinics. Expectations are clear, and all our practice managers are undertaking a week's core management skills training in June and July. This clearly sets out practice standards and expectations. We will monitor performance closely in our assurance clinics.

As the workforce stabilises, reducing demand is a priority. We are focusing on three areas: reunification, placement stability and threshold decision making in the Children's Resource Service. We are undertaking too many unnecessary statutory assessments and we need your help to think more creatively about what these families need from our services. In terms of practice, the quality of our child protection and pre-proceedings work is critical in promoting family stability and safety, and improving outcomes.

We are pushing hard on improving access to early local support for families; three locality events will take place in October 2022. Please promote these events within your services. Finally, from 26 September, we will be holding our second 'Love our Children Week' celebrating our looked after children. I hope you will join us.

Steph Murray Deputy Director

Children's Social Care

### **Effective Assessment and Intervel**

Nov-21

24%

223

436

92%

Jan-22

25%

200

540

91%

Dec-21

27%

195

496

88%

and has been above target and the performance of our statistical neighbours.

Feb-22

29%

111

595

93%

Indicator

Percentage of re-referrals

within 12 months

Number of Early Help

assessments completed

Rates of Single

Assessments completed

per 10,000

Percentage of C&F

assessments completed within 45 working days

Action/next steps

**Analysis** 

Outturn type

PERCENTAGE

NUMBER

RATE

PERCENTAGE

Oct-21

24%

180

376

85%

Apr-22

22%

99

62

79%

May-22

23%

125

116

82%

Jun-22

26%

137

171

86%

Target

23%

TBC

700

90%

RAG: Amber – Medium Risk

on 19/20

28%

N/A

898

79%

Southampt | Southampt

on 20/21

22%

N/A

672

77%

Statistical

Neighbours

28%

N/A

637

74%

South East

23%

N/A

554

70%

England

N/A

N/A

518

69%

n'	tı	0	n	

What the data tells us

Mar-22

29%

119

653

90%

Re-referrals have risen in June above target and from a lower figure in April and May. The service are reviewing if this

Re-referral audit is still required to understand any relevant trends and this has now being included in the annual audit schedule. BIT teams are holding a high number of cases, with a high % not going on to statutory support. We will be

focusing on CRS decision making, and interface with Early Help, in the coming months. There is a significant partnership

challenge here, we collectively need to focus our statutory resource on the families who need this most.

indicates that the changes to Brief Intervention Teams are having a positive impact. Provisional data for single assessments per 10k at year end are below Southampton's 20/21 performance, below target and moving closer to SN activity despite a 25% increase in contacts during the year. Performance on assessments completed within timescale has been strong all year

### **Purposeful Direct Contact**

RAG: Amber – Medium Risk

#### What the data tells us

Indicator	Outturn type	Oct-21	Nov-21	Dec-21	Jan-22	Feb-22	Mar-22	Apr-22	May-22	Jun-22	Target	Southampt on 19/20	Southampt on 20/21	Statistical Neighbours	South East	England
Percentage of children subject to Child Protection Plan for whom a visit has taken place within last 2 weeks (10 Working Days)	PERCENTAGE	58%	62%	71%	45%	44%	65%	71%	67%	86%	90%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percentage of children subject to Child Protection Plan for whom a visit has taken place within last 4 weeks (20 Working Days)	PERCENTAGE	78%	91%	92%	93%	76%	84%	91%	94%	97%	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percentage of CLA for whom a visit has taken place within statutory timescales (6 weeks or less visits)	PERCENTAGE	96%	90%	73%	83%	71%	74%	78%	84%	83%	90%	95%	TBC	97%	53%	67%
CIN on a plan visited within 4 weeks	PERCENTAGE	70%	73%	66%	68%	62%	42%	85%	76%	88%	90%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

#### **Analysis**

The recording of visits to children on a Child Protection Plan within 10 working days has improved in June. This is closely scrutinised by managers using their Power BI dashboards and Assurance Clinics and these are seeing a positive impact on recording in a timely manner on Care Director. A performance culture is developing in the safeguarding service with a strong commitment to improvement. CLA visits within the statutory 6 weeks are much improved. Many of the children who are not being visited every 6 weeks have 3-monthly visiting patterns, agreed by managers and IROs. Visits to Children in Need on a plan have also improved, we need to sustain this improvement moving forward.

#### Action/next steps

Managers continue to receive regular reports on visiting frequency and our assurance clinics are supporting the focus on improving performance. However, the level of demand upon the service remains high, with a resulting impact upon caseloads. To provide clear expectations for better practice and management oversight, revised practice standards were launched in our practice week in June 2022. Staffing stability is a particular risk in this area due to the loss of a number of experienced agency social workers. Case holding capacity will increase from September 2022 and we will need to continue to focus on practitioner confidence, skill and the quality of practice.

### **Management Support for better Practice**

RAG: Red – High Risk

What the data tells us
------------------------

Indicator	Outturn type	Oct-21	Nov-21	Dec-21	Jan-21	Feb-22	Mar-22	Apr-22	May-22	Jun-22	Target	Southampt on 19/20	Southampt on 20/21	Statistical Neighbours	South East	England
Percentage of CIN who																
have had their supervision	PERCENTAGE	67%	66%	72%	62%	50%	51%	81%	50%	69%	80%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
and within timescale																
Percentage of CPP who																
have had their supervision	PERCENTAGE	71%	63%	65%	64%	54%	60%	87%	53%	88%	90%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
and within timescale																
Percentage of CLA who																
have had their supervision	PERCENTAGE	58%	70%	50%	53%	46%	59%	76%	73%	81%	90%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
and within timescale																
Percentage of Care Leaver																
who have had their	DEDCEMENCE	0.207	70%	F00/	27%	25%	25%	78%	39%	440/	0.00/	N1 /A	N1 / A	N1 / A	N1/A	N1 / A
supervision and within	PERCENTAGE	82%	70%	59%	21%	25%	25%	/8%	39%	44%	80%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
timescale																

#### Analysis

Performance with supervisions continues to fluctuate. This is an ongoing focus for all service areas and at assurance clinics. The supervision form has been reviewed to be systemically focused and much quicker and easier to populate on Care Director. This is in test and will go live very soon. This will support the improvement and timeliness of recording supervisions across all services. It is reassuring to see supervision for children on CPP and CLA are significantly higher.

### Actions / next steps

Managers are increasingly demonstrating that they have a clear understanding of performance vs recording and are supporting/challenging individual practice managers as required. Performance, particularly the recording of supervision, is affected by demand across the service and practice managers 'stepping down' to support casework. The service launched a bespoke management induction programme in June 2022 which, alongside the updated Practice Standards, sets out the service expectations in respect of good supervision. Focus on Practice days, with reflective teams discussions with managers and practitioners, have continued. To date the Practice Development Team has worked with the Children with Disabilities, Fostering and Adoption Teams, Early Help and Young People's Services.

#### What the data tells us

referrals within percentage within percentage within percentage of CAF assessments completed per 10,000 mumber of carry within a referrals in the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the month Number of CLA at the end of the															<u> </u>		
referral six multing of Early Multiple (CRE) assistant of Early Help assistant or Early Help assistant	Indicator	Outturn type	Oct-21	Nov-21	Dec-21	Jan-22	Feb-22	Mar-22	Apr-22	May-22	Jun-22	Target				South East	England
Help assessments completed with the passessments completed presenting of the passessments of single Assessments completed with the percentage of C&F assessments of CAF assessments of C		PERCENTAGE	24%	24%	27%	25%	29%	29%	22%	22%	26%	23%	28%	22%	28%	23%	N/A
Assessments completed per 19,000 RATE 1970 436 496 540 595 653 62 116 171 700 898 672 637 554 518 completed per 19,000 Percentage of CGE assessments completed within 35 working days Number of CILA at the end of the month Number of CILA at the end of the month Albert of CILA at the end of the month Albert of CILA at the end of the month Albert of CILA at the end of the month Albert of CILA at the end of the month Albert of CILA at the end of the month as a start end of the month Albert of CILA at the end of the month as a start end of circle and the end of the month as a start end of the month and	Help assessments	NUMBER	180	223	195	200	111	119	99	125	137	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
C&F assessments completed within 45 working days  Number of contacts  NUMBER  1,922  1,874  1,689  2,018  1,696  2,011  1,663  2,091  1,860  <17k  15657  1761  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/	Assessments completed per	RATE	376	436	496	540	595	653	62	116	171	700	898	672	637	554	518
NUMBER   1,922   1,874   1,689   2,018   1,696   2,011   1,663   2,091   1,860   <17k   15657   17661   N/A   N/	_	PERCENTAGE	85%	92%	88%	91%	93%	90%	79%	82%	86%	90%	79%	77%	74%	70%	69%
referrals in the month  NUMBER 391 396 366 308 300 318 259 369 344 N/A N/A 4092 N/A N/A N/A N/A RATE 311 587 658 717 775 836 49 121 192 700 944 790 647 561 494  Population  Number of CLA at the end of the month  NUMBER 532 523 515 528 411 421 392 414 417 N/A		NUMBER	1,922	1,874	1,689	2,018	1,696	2,011	1,663	2,091	1,860	<17k	15657	17661	N/A	N/A	N/A
Per 10,000 of Under 18 Population  Number of CLA at the end of the month  Number of Children with an active Child in Need Plan not allocated to CWD (CIN*)  Number of Children who are subject of a Child Protection Plan as at the end of month  Number of Children who are subject of a Child Protection Plan as at the end of month  Number of Children who are subject of a Child Protection Plan as at the end of month  Number of Care  NUMBER  164  171  173  175  836  49  121  192  700  944  790  647  561  494  Population  NUMBER  525  540  486  495  615  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/	referrals in the	NUMBER	391	396	366	308	300	318	259	369	344	N/A	N/A	4092	N/A	N/A	N/A
at the end of the month NUMBER 525 540 544 551 560 563 566 565 555 540 486 495 615 N/A N/A NUMBER of children with an active Child in Need Plan not allocated to CWD (CIN*) Number of children who are subject of a Child Protection Plan as at the end of month Number of care NUMBER 388 413 453 472 492 483 455 414 413 406 396 310 406 N/A N/A NUMBER 388 164 171 173 209 218 226 212 221 204 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	per 10,000 of	RATE	511	587	658	717	775	836	49	121	192	700	944	790	647	561	494
children with an active Child in NUMBER 532 523 515 528 411 421 392 414 417 N/A	at the end of the	NUMBER	525	540	544	551	560	563	566	565	555	540	486	495	615	N/A	N/A
children who are subject of a Child Protection Plan as at the end of month  Number of care  NUMBER  388  413  453  472  492  483  455  414  413  406  396  310  406  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/	children with an active Child in Need Plan not allocated to CWD (CIN*)		532	523	515	528	411	421	392	414	417	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
NUMBER   164   171   173   209   218   226   212   221   204   N/A   N/A   N/A   N/A   N/A   N/A   N/A   N/A	children who are subject of a Child Protection Plan as at the end of	NUMBER	388	413	453	472	492	483	455	414	413	406	396	310	406	N/A	N/A
		NUMBER	164	171	173	209	218	226	212	221	204	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A

### **Right Service at the Right Time**

#### **Analysis**

There was a 25% increase in the number of contacts in 21/22 compared to 20/21. The trend has continued into 22/23. Ongoing conversations are being held across the partnership, especially with Police colleagues, to focus on this increase in contacts. This translates into significant activity for CRS. A high % of assessments do not result in statutory support. The brief intervention work being undertaken alongside and just after assessment is beginning to have an impact. The YPS are now undertaking assessments in line with our trajectory model. We will report on this more fully in September. We have seen a plateau and now considerable reduction in numbers of children on a child protection plan and a reduction in numbers of children entering care. End of June activity shows we had 540 children looked after, a net reduction of 15 children in the past 6 weeks and current projections are that new entrants will reduce by 20% in comparison with previous 12m. We need to sustain this reduction in numbers of children coming into care.

#### Action/next steps

A meeting has taken place with HIPS and police colleagues, with a plan for the four LAs to maintain close oversight of the appropriateness of police contacts. Southampton specific discussion will take place with police in September 2022.

Decision making by the Children's Resource Service will be an area of focus. This is to provide assurance and challenge about threshold decision making and to ensure that demand is at the correct level.

The plan to address the high number of children subject to child protection planning has been launched and partners were briefed at the Safeguarding Children's Partnership in June 2022.

### What the data tells us

Indicator	Outturn type	Oct-21	Nov-21	Dec-21	Jan-22	Feb-22	Mar-22	Apr-22	May-22	Jun-22	Target	Southampt on 19/20	Southampt on 20/21	Statistical Neighbours	South East	England
Number of CLA at the end of the month	NUMBER	525	540	544	551	560	563	SGG	565	555	540	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Rate of CLA per 10,000 under 18 population	RATE	101	104	105	106	108	108	109	109	107	100	95	твс	100	53	67
Number of CLA at the end of the month who are UASC	NUMBER	25	24	27	25	25	26	25	26	24	<37	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percentage of CLA for whom a visit has taken place within statutory timescales (6 weeks or less visits)	PERCENTAGE	96%	90%	73%	83%	71%	7496	78%	84%	83%	97%	95	твс	97	53	67
Percentage of CLA children with an up to date review	PERCENTAGE	97%	96%	96%	96%	97%	98%	99%	98%	95%	95%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percentage of children in care for at least 12 months for whom health assessments are up to date.	PERCENTAGE	81%	78%	72%	88%	94%	67%	63%	55%	65%	95%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percentage of initial health assessments delivered within 20 working days of date child became looked after.	PERCENTAGE	17%	40%	89%	35%	20%	28%	64%	36%	твс	90%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percentage of CLA at end of month with 3 or more placements during the year	PERCENTAGE	17%	18%	17%	7%	11%	8%	14%	13%	15%	<10%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Number of CLA allocated to CWD	NUMBER	29	29	29	31	31	32	32	31	31	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Number of Voluntarily Accommodated Section 20s (520) at period end excluding UASC	NUMBER	54	51	55	26	28	30	31	40	29	N/A	твс	твс	твс	твс	твс
Percentage of Looked after Children (LAC) with a permanence plan in place within 6 months of BLA	PERCENTAGE	твс	TBC	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A							
Number of Looked after Children placed for adoption at period end	NUMBER	13	12	12	твс	твс	твс	твс	твс	твс	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Percentage of CLA placed with IFAs at end of period	PERCENTAGE	31%	30%	31%	43%	51%	50%	47%	43%	46%	<36%	37%	N/A	36%	38%	36%

### **Robust Corporate Parenting**

## Analysis

Several partnership meetings and workshops have been held to review and improve processes and access to health assessments. There are two major challenges, one is capacity from CLA Nurses to undertake reviews in a timely manner as our CLA numbers have increased, the 2<sup>nd</sup> main challenge is for children in care placed outside of Southampton.

Undertaking the Initial Health Assessment then becomes the responsibility of the Local Authority in which the child resides.

Due to delays in required paperwork being completed, capacity of the responsible LAs' CLA health team and the timeliness of completing paperwork it is the children in care who live outside of the LA who are most affected by resource challenges.

Visiting to children in care continues to improve. Performance for Initial and Review Health Assessments is inconsistent.

We are performing above target for the number of children with 3 or more placement moves within a rolling 12 month period, also there has been a service wide focus on the review and recording of permanence plans for all children in care. Accurate reporting it is anticipated will be available from next month onwards.

We are concerned about the number of placements that are at risk of breakdown and the summer is likely to be a challenging period in relation to placement availability, locally and nationally.

#### Actions / Next Steps

The recent peer review of looked after children and care leavers was timely and supports the service focus on the quality of management oversight (which needs to improve), staff stability and recruitment.

For looked after children, the areas of focus continue to be around senior level oversight of unregulated placements and unplanned entries into care (alongside planned activity through the Legal Gateway Panel). Better tracking by managers is also a priority to ensure the completion of chronologies and case summaries, follow through on IRO challenge and outcome resolutions, more timely responses to children where SGO or reunification is the plan and a focus on children where specific aspects of life story work are needed.

For care leavers, the priorities are: improving access to emotional and mental health support, links with adult services, housing pathways and commissioning arrangements, improving ETE outcomes and communicating the service offer to post 21 young people who are closed to the service. In addition, the service is going to audit and speak to the last 15 leavers in August to consider what their experience has been of leaving care and what we can learn from this to include to what extent they feel prepared, aware of their entitlements etc.